

# The Western Separatist



Vol. XXVIII, No. 7  
July 2010

**“Separate or Surrender”**

The Western Separatist has been published by W.S.P. Ltd. since 1983.

Address all correspondence to:

WSP, Box 101,  
255 Menzies Street,  
Victoria, B.C.  
Western Canada  
V8V 2G6.

A one-year subscription is \$15.00. Members of the Western Canada Concept receive the WS with their membership.

Send e-mail to  
kzubko@shaw.ca.

Visit the WCC on the web at:  
www.westcan.org

Phone us at:  
250-727-3438  
or fax us at:  
250-479-3294

**Our cover:** This month's cover continues our series featuring Western Canadian separatist folk heroes. Elijah Harper was a native NDP MLA for Manitoba whose filibuster prevented the Meech Lake Accord from being passed in 1990, and Quebec therefore being given distinct status. While Elijah Harper's focus was naturally native rights, Western Canada as a whole benefitted from his actions.

## Double Secret Probation

by Richard McBride

*Dean Wormer:* This year we are going to kick those punks off campus.

*Greg Marmalard:* What do you intend to do, sir? Delta's already on probation.

*Dean Wormer:* They are?

*Greg Marmalard:* Yes, sir.

*Dean Wormer:* Oh. Then as of this moment, they're on *Double Secret Probation!*

*Greg Marmalard:* Double Secret Probation, sir?

*Dean Wormer:* There is a little-known codicil in the Faber College constitution which gives the dean unlimited power to preserve order in time of campus emergency. Find me a way to revoke Delta's charter... The time has come for someone to put their foot down. And that foot is me.

—*Animal House* (1978)

Last month I made the bold—not to say glaringly obvious—prediction that nothing of any worth or even interest would emerge from Toronto’s \$1.2-billion (revised figure) G-20 beano. I was half right. Of course all the pronouncements made by our global elite in conclave were promptly forgotten. On the other hand, we learned exactly what \$1,200,000,000 in “security costs” buys: anarcho-tyranny.

On Saturday, June 26, you will recall, about 10,000 people, outside the “security zone,” protested against, well, anything and everything. A couple hundred black-clad anarchists hived off from the main body of the protest and started smashing the windows of banks, chain stores and Starbucks. Anarchists have a thing about Starbucks. The 20,000 well-armed police in attendance proceeded to sit on their hands. And abandon their vehicles. Several of which were promptly torched. Later that day police made about 100 arrests. Few of the arrested were actually anarchists, who had bedevilled the cops by employing a clever stratagem—they *changed their clothes*.

The next day, Sunday, there were further protests, but the anarchists had taken a powder. The police, emboldened by the absence of anyone worth arresting, collared about 1,000 people for various offences: wearing black T-shirts or otherwise looking “alternative,” daring to ask why they were being impeded and harassed in their own neighbourhoods, being on the street without possession of a badge and a gun, etc. Canada’s finest had a whale of a time: roughing up journalists and women and even confiscating one man’s potentially lethal weapon—his wooden leg—and then mocking the arrested as they were held incommunicado without food or water.

Altogether, 1,105 people were arrested, the “largest mass arrest in Canadian history.” When it came time to explain on what grounds, Mayor David Miller, Police Chief Bill Blair

and Premier Dalton McGuinty were rather cagey. It turns out that at the behest of Chief Blair something called the Executive Council of Ontario had enacted a secret law that went undebated in the Ontario Legislature, a codicil to the 1939 *Public Works Protection Act* empowering police to arrest anyone within five metres of the security zone who failed to identify himself or explain his presence there. Chief Blair and Dalton McGuinty denied there was anything secret about the codicil; after all, it was published in the *Ontario Gazette*—after the G-20 was over, as it happened. And most of those arrested were blocks away from security zone, but at least one policeman on the scene was under the impression the whole of Toronto was under “martial law.”

Following such an outrage, any decent country, indeed any country that subscribes to the idea of representative government, would have witnessed the swift resignations of Miller, Blair and McGuinty. But they aren’t going anywhere anytime soon. And what of the man ultimately responsible for this fiasco, the man who hosted the G-20 and doled out \$1.2 billion in taxpayer funds to ensure its security, Stephen Harper?

“We obviously deplore the actions of a few thugs,” the Prime Minister declared on Sunday. Ah, but which thugs, the ones in black or the ones in blue? He concluded, “The reality is, unfortunately, that these summits attract this element and [that] has been a problem, as we know, around the world. That said, I think that goes a long way to explaining why we have the kind of security costs around these summits that we do.” In other words, we are the government, and we’re here to bash you.

No security and no accountability. Representative government? No, anarcho-tyranny. Samuel Francis, the late political scientist who coined the term, defined it as being

A combination of anarchy (in which legitimate government functions—like spying on the bad guys or punishing real

criminals—are not performed) and tyranny (in which government performs illegitimate functions—like spying on the good guys or criminalizing innocent conduct like gun ownership and political dissent). The result of anarcho-tyranny is that government swells in power, criminals are not controlled, and law-abiding citizens wind up being repressed by the state and attacked by thugs.

As we saw in Toronto, by unformed thugs paid a hedge-fund manager's ransom to keep the peace. Francis wrote that under anarcho-tyranny

The laws that are enforced are either those that extend or entrench the power of the state and its allies and internal elites...or else they are the laws that directly punish those recalcitrant and "pathological" elements in society who insist on behaving according to traditional norms—people who do not like to pay taxes, wear seat belts or deliver their children to the mind-bending therapists who run the public schools.

Sound like any country you know? O Canada, we stand on guard for thee. Right?

---

## Freedom's Voice

---

"Indeed nations, in general, are not apt to think until they feel; and therefore nations in general have lost their liberty: For as violations of the rights of the governed, are commonly not only specious, but small at the beginning, they spread over the multitude in such a manner, as to touch individuals but slightly. Thus they are disregarded. The power or profit that arises from these violations centering in few persons, is to them considerable. For this reason the governors having in view their particular purposes, successively preserve an uniformity of conduct for attaining them. They regularly increase the first injuries, till at length the inattentive people are compelled to perceive the heaviness of their

burthens — They begin to complain and inquire — but too late. They find their oppressors so strengthened by success, and themselves so entangled in examples of express authority on the part of their rulers, and of tacit recognition on their own part, that they are quite confounded: for millions entertain no other idea of the legality of power, than it is founded on the exercise of power."

*John Dickenson, (1732-1808)*

---

## A Separatist Speaks

---

*By Douglas Christie*

### *The Value of Smaller Nations*

There are two major political forces at work within the world today. The first is globalism, which seeks socialist one-world government, whether through transnational organizations like the United Nations or geopolitical entities like the European Union. The second, opposing, force is ethnic nationalism, which seeks smaller countries and more local governments based on tradition and cultural integrity.

These two forces are in conflict everywhere but most particularly today in Europe. The threatened end of the massive transfers of wealth from rich manufacturing countries like Germany and France to countries such as Greece, Portugal, Spain, Italy and Ireland threatens to tear the EU apart. Already we have seen that Germany's refusal to lower its standard of living in order to continue to provide Greece with a standard of living it doesn't deserve on its own merits has resulted in blood in the streets of Athens.

Running parallel to the economic protest against bigness is the ethnic protest. In Belgium, the French-speaking Walloons and the Dutch-speaking Flemings are at loggerheads, and the government is totally deadlocked. Belgium, which was created from these two peoples in 1830, may cease to exist.

One of the most striking examples of the development of ethnic nationalism occurred in Spain on July 10. That was the day when one million people were on the streets of Barcelona—one million people!—seeking the independence of Catalonia. What the Catalonian people want is entirely natural, a small nation close to its people, true to its language and culture and better placed to protect its resources and environment. People want their voice to be heard in the halls of government, and that voice is heard loudest when government is closest to its citizens.

Western Canadians don't have a voice in Ottawa, because Ontario and Quebec control the electoral system. Individual countries don't have a voice with the European Union, because any single dissenting country is outvoted by all the rest. And once the majority has learned how to vote itself lethargy at the expense of others, you see the failure of democracy. This is what is happening in the EU, as it is fated to happen in any political unit based on economic equalization.

This is why I believe that the future belongs to the smaller nations, ethnically homogeneous nations, such as Western Canada, which share a common language and work to develop a common culture. Czechoslovakia has already broken up into two homogeneous countries, and the number of European nations demanding autonomy, like Scotland and Spain's Basque region, continues to increase.

Again, ethnic nationalism is not an unreasonable or even disagreeable trend. It is entirely natural. The global socialists vilify us, but we ethnic nationalists can easily demonstrate that smaller nations, yearning to be free, are far less dangerous to the world than monolithic empires, which commonly seek to oppress, enslave and in some cases even murder vast numbers of people of different cultures.

It is better for us all that we stay home, cultivate our own lands and look after our own

development rather than invade far-away countries like Afghanistan and Iraq in order to ensure that everyone, everywhere must be the same.

The same divisions that are tearing the European Union apart are very much present in Canada, particularly between Quebec and the rest of the country, but just as economically and culturally significant between Ontario and Western Canada. You can paper over these divisions but not forever.

Therefore, I say that if we free the West, we will help build a better world, a world freer and truer to our heritage and culture, and we will preserve and protect our environment far better than Ottawa or any distant multinational organization could ever hope to do. Free the West, build the best.

*(From Doug Christie's YouTube Channel)*

### ***Kosovo/Western Canada***

The United Nations has legitimized Kosovo's Declaration of Independence. The International Court of Justice in the Hague said Kosovo had not broken any international conventions by its Declaration.

So what makes Kosovo's declaration so special? Two million people declare independence and 69 nations, including the United States and Canada, recognize it. Why not Quebec? Why not Western Canada?

In Kosovo we have a land-locked entity of 2 million Muslims, that now has a new constitution, army, national anthem, passports, identity cards, intelligence agency and embassies. It is a member of the I.M.F. and World Bank, since June 2001. (source: National Post, July 23, 2010)

All this because Bill Clinton decided to bomb the Serbs into submission with Nato! Why is Western Canadian independence less credible?



## *Why I Hate the HST*

The governments of the nations of the Western world have one thing in common: they are all run by politicians who make promises to get elected. Their promises to either make war or buy peace all cost money, which can only be acquired by the real work, of real people in the real world while they do no work for any people in the artificial world of promises where they live and pretend to work. The bureaucrats who administer the bribes and patronage and who supervise those who build the roads, the bridges, etc., generally remain, costing real money, while the politicians come and go.

Therefore, politicians live off other people's money, which they must extract by taxation. Early in the twentieth century, the forces of socialism made popular the idea that we should tax the rich because they could afford to pay and give to the poor who were more numerous. Democracy could sanction the will of the many, extracting from the few.

Income tax became so onerous that the super-rich found ways to become super-national, and transferred wealth to more competitive tax regimes.

About the time that the middle class were catching on to this method of escaping tax slavery, 9/11 made "terrorism" the real enemy, and thereafter tracking money and preventing off-shore holdings became a patriotic duty in the war on terror. The middle class were locked back in the closet, where they have been further exploited and lied to for the profit of the politicians.

But as taxes rose and incomes diminished, income tax became less profitable for the states, as incomes declined. Like the Reform Party, which could not cause reform, another pretext had to be found.

The best was an unpopular step, the G.S.T. of Brian Mulroney. "Political suicide," you might think, as the Conservatives went

from the majority to merely two members in the House. But as we will see, political success is not necessarily electoral success, and defeat is only temporary on the march to the Utopian State.

So now the provincial sales tax of British Columbia is being combined with the federal G.S.T. to create a new 12% federally collected tax.

Instead of the G.S.T. being dropped on items not subject to P.S.T., the G.S.T. now applies to all things. Hence, for example, my office rent increased by 7%. The new rate, be it 12% or 14%, is only temporary. When the V.A.T. was introduced in England in 1973, it was 10%. Now, as of January 1, 2011, it will be 20%! In Denmark in 1967, it was 10%; now it is 25%, as it is in Sweden. Nowhere in Europe is it below 18%.

Why do I object? Firstly, a provincial tax is being substituted and extended into the hands of a federal tax collector. A legitimate area of provincial concern is handed over, along with 3 million British Columbian taxpayers, like a slave's auction. The provincial government gets \$1 billion for its government to hand out at the next election and its citizens get a small monthly cheque to make them feel brought and paid for on a 4"x8" piece of federal paper.

The provincial government has given to Ottawa the power to collect another provincial tax like the income tax, so even the tax collectors' jobs will be federal, probably filed as is Income Tax, with French Canadians who will have less sympathy for English-speaking Western Canadians. This same strategy works in international police forces. The more foreign the authority, the more ruthless the efficiency. Most of the arguments for the H.S.T. are that it will be more efficient. It should be remembered however, that an efficient tax collection system is worse than an inefficient one!

Thus, we see a provincial government who sells its citizens like so many chattels to be plucked or fleeced at the whim of the distant Ottawa government, the same Ottawa government which is elected before a single ballot is counted west of the Lakehead! (They even try to deceive us by delaying the results so we won't know who won!)

Thus are British Columbians giving up control of their own tax dollars through a federal bribe of \$1 billion to the provincial government, to cover the "cost of harmonization," they say.

In addition, a more significant reason to object to this massive tax grab is the fact that it feeds the fire of government growth and intrusion into our lives. We know the government controls speech through Criminal Law, and Human Rights Commissions. We know it controls the cost of gasoline, wheat marketing, immigration and airport travel while it funds abortion and English as a second language. It funds futile wars on Islamic nations like Afghanistan to suit its southern neighbour, and the neo-cons who run it.

Big government gets bigger and hungrier with every tax dollar it receives. The quality of its judgments are reflected in courts which play politics and politicians who act like they own the country as private property. The arrogance of the government elite is breath-taking in its audacity.

If we want to restore government to a responsible role, we must have effective referendum, initiative and recall, which on the H.S.T. has been successfully adopted. We must actually Free the West from the corrupt system which made this tax slave transfer agreement possible and establish a nation of one official language, one government and one national identity of freedom-loving people, which will respect the rights of the individual to the ownership of property without government expropriation through taxation.

There is a price to be paid for freedom. Every hundred years or so, people realize their system of government has become so full of its own rot, it must be changed. Thus freedom, prosperity and good government can only be restored to Western Canada when we as a people choose to build a new and better government for ourselves. Free the West!

### ***Important Message to all Western Separatists:***

Although Independence for Western Canada can only be achieved through a referendum in each province, and for that, a provincial party is necessary, it is equally true that one party to unite all Western Canadians together is also necessary. That party is of necessity a federal party, the Western Block Party, which I have laboured to create and maintain.

At present we need 100 new members before September to continue our tax deductible status and continue to be registered as a Federal political party.

The necessary declaration form is replicated on the back page of this newsletter, with the urgent request that unless you have already done so (don't do it twice!) to please fill out the Declaration and send it with \$10.00 in the enclosed self-addressed envelope.

Please feel free to photocopy the form and get a few of your friends to join. We really need your help. Send the completed form or forms as soon as possible.

### ***Another Important Message: Western Block Candidates Seminar***

A seminar for those wishing to run for the Western Block Party in the next federal election is being held in Chilliwack, B.C. on August 7th & 8th. A public meeting will be held at the Royal Hotel on Friday, August 6th at 7 p.m. Everyone is welcome. For more information, please call 250-888-3410.



Declaration of a member of a registered party or an eligible party  
 Subsection 384(2) of the *Canada Elections Act*  
 Déclaration d'un membre d'un parti enregistré ou d'un parti admissible  
 Paragraphe 384(2) de la *Loi électorale du Canada*

Full name of the party - Nom intégral du parti	
Name - Nom	WESTERN BLOCK PARTY

<p>To maintain its status, a registered or eligible political party must every 3 years provide the names, addresses and declarations of at least 250 electors who are members of the party.</p> <p>The party is required to submit the <u>original</u> declaration to Elections Canada. Faxed or copied declarations are not accepted.</p>	<p>Pour maintenir son statut, un parti politique enregistré ou admissible doit à tous les 3 ans, soumettre le nom, l'adresse et la déclaration d'au moins 250 électeurs qui sont membres du parti.</p> <p>Le parti est requis de soumettre la déclaration <u>originale</u> à Élections Canada. Les déclarations photocopées ou télécopiées ne sont pas acceptées.</p>
--	---

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY			EN CARACTÈRES D'IMPRIMERIE SVP		
Surname - Nom de famille	Given name(s) - Prénom(s)	Date of birth (optional) Date de naissance (facultatif)			
		dd	mm	yyyy	dd
		:	:	:	:
Address (residential) - Adresse (résidence)			Mailing address (if different) - Adresse postale (si elle diffère)		
City - Ville	Prov./Terr	Postal code - Code postal	City - Ville	Prov./Terr.	Postal code - Code postal

<p>If I provide my date of birth, I authorize Elections Canada to verify my name, address and date of birth using information in the National Register of Electors.</p>	<p>Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Non - Non <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Si je fournis ma date de naissance, j'autorise Élections Canada à vérifier mes nom, adresse et date de naissance en utilisant renseignements contenus dans le Registre national des électeurs.</p>
---	---	---

### Declaration - Déclaration

I hereby confirm that I am a qualified elector under the *Canada Elections Act*, i.e. I have attained the age of 18 years and I am a Canadian citizen. I am a member of the above-named political party.

Je confirme par la présente que j'ai qualité d'électeur aux termes de la *Loi électorale du Canada*, c'est-à-dire que j'ai atteint l'âge de 18 ans et que je suis citoyen canadien. Je suis membre du parti politique ci-dessus mentionné.

I acknowledge that it is an offence under subsection 384.1(5) and paragraph 497(3)(b.4) of the *Canada Elections Act* to make a declaration that is false or misleading.

Je reconnais que quiconque fait une déclaration fautive ou trompeuse commet une infraction en vertu du paragraphe 384.1(5) et de l'alinéa 497(3)b.4) de la *Loi électorale du Canada*.

X

Signature

Date

<p>The personal information you provide is for federal electoral purposes only. This information may be inspected by anyone during regular business hours, under section 541 of the <i>Canada Elections Act</i>. The information on this form is retained in Personal Information Bank CEO PPU 036.</p>	<p>Les renseignements personnels fournis serviront uniquement à des fins électorales fédérales. Ils pourront être consultés par quiconque pendant les heures de bureau, selon l'article 541 de la <i>Loi électorale du Canada</i>, et seront conservés dans le Fichier de renseignements personnels DGE PPU 036.</p>
---	--

**This page is left intentionally blank.**